## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>18 - 060 - 70793</u> HRL
Mixed Santings Cleventes, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 6/25, 2009.
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney	hie The United States was represented by
Assistant U.S. Attorney J. Fazioli.	Y THE OMICE STATES WAS represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
	ibed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)	VI) while on release and in a till for S. i. I while
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elan	sed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
imprisonment, whichever is later.	sed since the date of conviction of the release of the person from
<del>-</del>	adialana and the second of the
safety of any other person and the community.	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
There is probable cause based when the indicate	(A) (A) (C) (C) (1) (D) (E)
defendant has committed an offense	nent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
•	
A for which a maximum term of imprison:	ment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR  B. under 18 U.S.C. § 974(c): use of a firear	
	rm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a reduttable presumption that no con-	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	community.
No presumption applies.	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	•
	•
/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evi	idence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
will be ordered detained.	idence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evi will be ordered detained. / / The defendant has come forward with evidence to	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to  Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta	o rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA	o rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of	o rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE)  of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requi	o rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.  The United States has proved by clear and convince.	tes.  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convenience of the defendant as required to the convenience of the defendant	orebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE)  of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR  cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or combination.
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requi  // The United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporative will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporative will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporative will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporative will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporative will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other person and the corporation will be a safety of any other perso	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or combination of conditions or combination of conditions or combination of conditions or combination.  REASONS FOR DETENTION
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the corporative. Written Findings of Fact and Statement of Fact IV. Written Findings of Fact and Statement of Fact IV.	tes.  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, 'AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or combination of conditions or combination of conditions or combination of conditions or combination.  REASONS FOR DETENTION at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requi // The United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the Court has taken into account the factors set our at hearing and finds as follows:	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or minumity. REASONS FOR DETENTION at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the defendant as required to the United States has proved to a preponderance of the defendant as required to the United States has proved to a preponderance of the defendant as required to the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the defendant as required to the United States has proved to a preponderance of the defendant as required to the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the defendant as required to the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United States has proved to a preponderance of the United Sta	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ommunity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requi // The United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the Court has taken into account the factors set our at hearing and finds as follows:	tes.  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or minumity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and th	tes.  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or minumity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convention of the Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:  The Court has taken into account the factors set out at hearing and finds as follows:	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions fired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or minunity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted  AND AND AND CORPORAL OF SUCCESSORY  AND AND CORPORAL OF SUCCESSORY  AND CORPORATION  AND CORPORAL OF SUCCESSORY  AND CORPORATION  AND CORPORAL OF SUCCESSORY  AND CORPORATION  AND COR
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and th	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE) of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions fired, AND/OR cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or minunity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted  AND AND AND CORPORAL OF SUCCESSORY  AND AND CORPORAL OF SUCCESSORY  AND CORPORATION  AND CORPORAL OF SUCCESSORY  AND CORPORATION  AND CORP
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of the defendant as required in its provided by clear and convinct will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in its interest in its convinction of the con	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE)  of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR  cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ommunity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION  at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted with a violation of SUSC § 132  Allections for Conference in the information submitted in the submitted of the information submitted in the submitted of the information for Conference in the submitted in th
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INA  The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and th	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE)  of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, AND/OR  cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ommunity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION  at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted with a violation of SUSC § 132  Allections for Conference in the information submitted in the submitted of the information submitted in the submitted of the information for Conference in the submitted in th
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United State Part III. Proof (Where Presumptions Rebutted or Ingel The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse of the defendant of the last the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse of the defendant of the last the la	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE)  of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions fired, AND/OR  cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ommunity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION  at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted for the information submitted for the information for the information for the information for the information submitted for the information for the info
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United State Part III. Proof (Where Presumptions Rebutted or Ingel The United States has proved to a preponderance of will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required in the United States has proved by clear and convince will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse of the defendant of the last the safety of any other person and the converse will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the converse of the defendant of the last the la	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  APPLICABLE)  of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions ired, 'AND/OR  cing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions or minunity.  REASONS FOR DETENTION  at in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted thanks which a violation of SUSC § 1320  Application for the property of the information submitted in the property of

appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL